# AUDIT REPORT MONTGOMERY COUNTY UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 4 MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET	Page 1
RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	Page 2
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE	Page 3
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	Page 4
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS INCLUDING NOTES REQUIRED BY TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	Pages 5-18
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND	Page 19
TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
SERVICES AND RATES	Pages 20-20b
SCHEDULE OF GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES	Page 21
SCHEDULE OF TEMPORARY INVESTMENTS	Page 22
TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE	Pages 23-23a
GENERAL LONG-TERM DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - BY YEARS	Pages 24-24a
ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN GENERAL LONG-TERM DEBT	Page 25
COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - GENERAL AND DEBT SERVICE FUNDS	Page 26
BOARD MEMBERS, KEY ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL AND	Pages 27-27a

Member American Institute of Certified Public Accounts

#### DAVID L. MERRITT, P.C.

Certified Public Accountant 311 COMMERCE STREET TOMBALL, TEXAS 77375

281-351-7146

Member Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants

March 27, 2014

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors Montgomery County Utility District No. 4 Montgomery County, Texas

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the General Fund, the Debt Service Fund, the Capital Projects Fund and remaining fund information, which collectively comprise the basic financial statements of the Montgomery County Utility District No. 4, Montgomery County, Texas, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.



We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above, present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the General Fund, the Debt Service Fund, the Capital Projects Fund and remaining fund information of Montgomery County Utility District No. 4 as of December 31, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

#### Required Supplemental Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is required by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

DAVID L. MERRITT, P.C.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### Using this Annual Report

Within this section of the Montgomery County Utility District No. 4 (the "District") annual report, the District's Board of Directors provides narrative discussion and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) Government-Wide Financial Statements; 2) Fund Financial Statements, and 3) Notes to Financial Statements. This report also contains supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and other supplementary information required by the District's state oversight agency, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.

In accordance with required reporting standards, the District reports its financial activities as a special-purpose government. Special-purpose governments are governmental entities which engage in a single governmental program, such as the provision of water, sanitary sewer, and drainage services. The financial statements of special-purpose governments combine two types of financial statements into one statement. These two types of financial statements are the government-wide financial statements and the fund financial statements. The fund financial statements are presented on the left side of the statements, a column for adjustments is to the right of the fund financial statements, and the government-wide financial statements are presented to the right side of the adjustments column. The following sections describe the measurement focus of the two types of statements and the significant differences in the information they provide.

#### Government-Wide Financial Statements

The focus of government-wide financial statements is on the overall financial position and activities of the District. The District's government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and statement of activities, which are prepared using accounting principles that are similar to commercial enterprises. The purpose of the statement of net position is to attempt to report all of the assets owned by and liabilities of the District. The District reports all of its assets when it acquires or begins to maintain the assets and reports all of its liabilities when they are incurred.

The difference between the District's total assets and total liabilities is labeled as net position and this difference is similar to the total owner's equity presented by a commercial enterprise.

The purpose of the statement of activities is to present the revenues and expenses of the District. Again, the items presented on the statement of activities are measured in a manner similar to the approach used by a commercial enterprise in that revenues are recognized when earned or established criteria are satisfied and expenses are reported when incurred by the District. All changes in net position are reported when the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues are reported even when they may not be collected for several months or years after the end of the accounting period and expenses are recorded even though they may not have used cash during the current period.

Although the statement of activities looks different from a commercial enterprise's income statement, the financial statement is different only in format, not substance. Whereas the bottom line in a commercial enterprise is its net income, the District reports an amount described as change in net position.

#### Fund Financial Statements

Unlike government-wide financial statements, the focus of fund financial statements is directed to specific activities of the District rather than the District as a whole. Except for the general fund, a specific fund is established to satisfy managerial control over resources or to satisfy finance-related legal requirements established by external parties or governmental statutes or regulations.

Governmental Fund financial statements consist of a balance sheet and statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances and are prepared on an accounting basis that is significantly different from that used to prepare the government-wide financial statements.

In general, these financial statements have a short-term emphasis and, for the most part, measure and account for cash and other assets that can easily be converted into cash. For example, amounts reported on the balance sheet include items such as cash and receivables collectible within a very short period of time, but do not include capital assets such as land utility systems. Fund liabilities include amounts that are to be paid within a very short period after the end of the fiscal year. The difference between a fund's total assets and total liabilities is labeled the fund balance, and generally indicates the amount that can be used to finance the next fiscal year's activities. Likewise, the operating statement for governmental funds reports only those revenues and expenditures that were collected in cash or paid with cash, respectively, during the current period or very shortly after the end of the fiscal year.

Because the focus of the government-wide and fund financial statements are different, there are significant differences between the totals presented in these financial statements. For this reason, there is an analysis in the financial statements that reconciles the total fund balances to the amount of net position presented in the governmental activities column on the statement of net position. Also, there is an analysis in the financial statements that reconciles the total change in fund balances for all governmental funds to the change in net position as reported in the governmental activities column in the statement of activities.

#### Notes to Financial Statements

The notes to financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data found in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### Financial Analysis of the District as a Whole

The District's overall financial position and operations for the past year is summarized as follows based on the information included in the government-wide financial statements.

#### Summary of Net Position

		2013		2012
Current and other assets	\$	6,271,249	\$	8,524,279
Capital assets		8,292,670	_	6,144,433
Total assets	_	14,563,919		14,668,712
Long-term liabilities		<i>5,555,000</i>		<i>5,755,000</i>
Other liabilities		596,811		986,278
Total liabilities	_	6,151,811	-	6,741,278
Net position:				
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt		3,976,457		2,909,194
Restricted		1,541,342		1,072,336
Unrestricted		<i>2,894,309</i>		3,945,904
Total net position	\$ _	8,412,108	\$_	7,927,434

The total net position of the District increased by \$484,674. Although the District's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

#### Summary of Changes in Net Position

Revenues:		2013		2012
Property taxes	\$_	1,541,886	\$	1,517,213
Charges for services		1,165,112		1,216,322
Other revenues		80,054		115,696
Total revenues		2,787,052	_	2,849,231
Expenses for services		2,302,378	_	2,364,180
Change in net position before extraordinary items		484,674		485,051
Property Settlement				109,653
Voided Checks for Prior Years Expenditures				19,102
Refund of Prior Years Taxes				(22,173)
Net position, beginning of year, Restated		7,927,434	_	7,335,801
Net position, end of year	\$	8,412,108	\$	7,927,434

#### Financial Analysis of the District's Funds

The District's combined fund balances as of December 31, 2013 were \$3,781,398 a decrease of \$1,874,893 from prior years.

The General Fund balance decreased by \$124,410, as a result of decreased maintenance tax revenue and capital outlay expenditures.

The Debt Service Fund balance increased by \$404,172, as a result of increased tax revenue.

The Capital Projects Fund decreased by \$2,154,655, as a result of capital outlay expenditures.

#### General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The Board of Directors did not amend the budget during the fiscal year. The original budget adopted by the Board of Directors anticipated an increase in the fund balance during the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$296,805. There were several differences between the budgeted and actual amounts. The major difference was between budgeted expenditures of \$1,613,035 and the actual amount of \$2,091,808. The anticipated budgetary fund balance as of December 31, 2013 was expected to be \$3,267,241 and the actual year ended fund balance was \$2,846,026.

#### Capital Assets and Related Debt

#### Capital Assets

Capital assets held by the District at the end of the current and previous fiscal year are summarized as follows:

#### Capital Assets (Net of Accumulated Depreciation)

	2013	2012
Land and easements	\$ 60,403	\$ 41,572
Water facilities	3,515,381	3,016,555
Sewer facilities	 4,716,886	 3,086,306
Totals	\$ 8,292,670	\$ 6,144,433

During the current year, the additions to capital assets were as follows:

Water and sewer improvements and land paid for with		
General Fund resources	\$	<i>231,435</i>
Sewer improvements paid for with Series 2011 bond proceeds	_	2,157,972
Total additions to capital assets	\$	2,389,407

#### Debt

The changes in the debt position of the District during the year ended December 31, 2013 are summarized as follows:

Bonded debt payable, January 1, 2013	\$	<i>5,755,000</i>
Bond principal paid		(200,000)
Bonded debt payable, December 31, 2013	<i>\$</i> _	5,555,000

At December 31, 2013, the District has \$21,000,000 unlimited revenue and tax bonds authorized but unissued for the purposes of acquiring, constructing and improving the water, sanitary sewer and drainage system within the District and \$5,228,683 refunding purpose only bonds authorized but unissued.

The Series 2011 bonds were sold with an insured rating by Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (AGMC) of "AA+". On November 30, 2011, AGMC's rating was downgraded to "AA-".

#### OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS

Relationship to the City of Conroe

Under existing Texas law, since the District lies wholly within the extraterritorial jurisdiction of the City of Conroe, the District must conform to a City of Conroe ordinance consenting to the creation of the District. In addition, the District may be annexed by the City of Conroe without the District's consent. If the District is annexed, the City will assume the District's assets and obligations (including the bonded indebtedness) and dissolve the District within ninety (90) days.

The District received the City of Conroe's notice regarding annexation on February 20, 2012. On February 22, 2013, the District entered into a Strategic Partnership Agreement ("SPA") with the City of Conroe, Texas (the "City") pursuant to Texas Local Government Code, Section 43.0751, which SPA provides for: (1) annexation of the territory of the District by the City for full purposes effective December 31, 2014; (2) continuation of the District, after annexation, as a "limited district" with powers and functions provided by law, including water, wastewater, storm sewer, and solid waste services, and also including the power to levy taxes and collect user fees; (3) provision of fire, police, and other municipal services by the City; (4) payments by the City to the District, including payments to defray debt service related to the Bonds; (5) binding each owner and future owners of land included within the territory of the District; and (6) other matters.

#### **OTHER**

The District does not foresee any significant changes in the property tax base during the year ending December 31, 2014.

#### CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Montgomery County Utility District No. 4's finances for anyone with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to Montgomery County Utility District No. 4, c/o Coats, Rose, Yale, Ryman & Lee, P.C., 3 E. Greenway Plaza, Suite 2000, Houston, Tx 77046.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### ASSETS

Cash & Investments (Note 3) Taxes Receivable Other Receivables (Note 4) Joint Venture Investment (Note 10) Prepayments Internal Receivables Accrued Interest Receivable Deferred Charges Capital Assets (net of accumulated depreciation): (Note 8) Land Infrastructure	\$	General Fund 1,523,867 291,240 206,070 11,570 11,186 1,443,443 1,317	\$	Debt Service Fund 1,140,028 468,807	\$	Capital Projects Fund 1,435,786	\$	Total 4,099,681 760,047 206,070 11,570 80,056 1,443,443 1,317
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	3,488,693	\$	1,608,835	\$	1,504,656		6,602,184
LIABILITIES								
Accounts Payable (Note 4)	\$	140,499	\$		\$	265,869	\$	406,368
Customer Deposits		111,367						111,367
Accrued Interest Payable		200 201		100.00=				950 000
Deferred Revenues		390,801		468,807		000 411		859,608
Internal Payables				1,240,032		203,411		1,443,443
Long-term Liabilities: (Note 5)  Due within one year								
Due after one year								
Total Liabilities	•	642,667		1,708,839	•	469,280		2,820,786
	'		•				•	
FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION								
Fund Balances:								
Nonspendable		11,186						11,186
Committed		251,866		1 1 10 000				251,866
Restricted		0.500.054		1,140,028		1,035,376		2,175,404
Unassigned		2,582,974		(1,240,032)		1 000 000		1,342,942
Total Fund Balances	ø.	2,846,026	Φ.	(100,004)	rh.	1,035,376		3,781,398
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY	\$	3,488,693	\$	1,608,835	\$	1,504,656	\$	6,602,184

#### Net Position:

Invested in Capital Assets, net of related debt

Restricted for Debt Service

Unrestricted

**Total Net Position** 

	Adjust-		Statement of
	ments		Net Position
\$	_	\$	4,099,681
	11,583		771,630
			206,070
	1,100,925		1,112,495
			80,056
	(1,443,443)		· -
			1,317
			-
	60,403		60,403
	8,232,267		8,232,267
•	7,961,735		14,563,919
	1,001,100		14,000,010
			406,368
			111,367
	79,076		79,076
	(859,608)		75,070
			•
	(1,443,443)		•
	210,000		210,000
	5,345,000		5,345,000
	3,331,025	ı	6,151,811
•	3,001,020	•	0,101,011
	(11,186)		-
	(251,866)		•
	(2,175,404)		-
	(1,342,942)		-
•	(3,781,398)		_
-	(0).02,000/	•	
	0.050.155		0.050 455
	3,976,457		3,976,457
	1,541,342		1,541,342
	2,894,309		2,894,309
\$	8,412,108	\$	8,412,108

# RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2013

Fund balances - total governmental funds			\$	3,781,398
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:				
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds.				
Joint Venture Investment Governmental capital assets Less accumulated depreciation	\$	1,100,925 11,180,525 (2,887,855)		9,393,595
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds.				
Governmental bonds payable Interest Payable	_	(5,555,000) (79,076)		(5,634,076)
Deferred revenue and penalty and interest in governmental funds are susceptible to full accrual on the entity-wide statements.				
Penalty and Interest Receivable Deferred Revenue	_	11,583 859,608	_	871,191
Net position of governmental activities			\$_	8,412,108



# STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

	_	General Fund	<b>.</b> .	Debt Service Fund		Capital Projects Fund	•	Total
Revenues:	•	HEO 000	•		•		Φ.	550.000
Charges for Water Service	\$	559,200	\$		\$		\$	559,200
Charges for Sewer Service		605,912		051 001				605,912
Property Taxes (Note 5)		735,422		851,301				1,586,723
Tap Connection and Inspection Fees		23,205						23,205
Covenant Revenue (Note 12)		7,315		054		E 500		7,315
Investment Earnings		5,673		254		5,537		11,464
Penalties and Interest		14,891		4,975				19,866
Other	_	15,780		050 500		F 505		15,780
TOTAL REVENUES	-	1,967,398		856,530		5,537		2,829,465
Expenditures/Expenses:								
Service Operations:								
Water Service		595,970						595,970
Sewer Service		553,918						553,918
Purchased Services		222,989						222,989
Contracted Services		112,758		11,556				124,314
Professional Fees		250,322						250,322
Director Fees		20,700						20,700
Other		103,716		667		2,220		106,603
Capital Outlay		231,435				2,157,972		2,389,407
Debt Service:								
Principal Principal				200,000				200,000
Interest				240,135				240,135
Depreciation (Note 8)				210,100				210,100
Total Expenditures/Expenses	-	2,091,808		452,358		2,160,192	٠	4,704,358
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures		(124,410)		404,172		(2,154,655)		(1,874,893)
Other Financing Sources/Uses:	_	-		•		-	•	-
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other								
Financing Sources/Uses Over Expenditures		(124,410)		404,172		(2,154,655)		(1,874,893)
Change in Net Position								
Fund Balance/Net Position:								
January 1, 2013		2,970,436		(504,176)		3,190,031		5,656,291
December 31, 2013	s -	2,846,026	\$	(100,004)	\$	1,035,376	\$	3,781,398
	· =	_,,_	• *	<u> </u>			Ť :	-,,

	ments		Activities
_			
\$		\$	559,200
	(44.005)		605,912
	(44,837)		1,541,886
	C 015		23,205
	6,315		13,630
	(0.001)		11,464
	(3,891)		15,975 15,790
	(42,413)		<u>15,780</u> 2,787,052
	(42,410)		2,101,002
			595,970
			553,918
			222,989
			124,314
			250,322
			20,700
			106,603
	(2,389,407)		-
	(200,000)		-
	(53,743)		186,392
	241,170		241,170
	(2,401,980)		2,302,378
	2,359,567		
	1,874,893		
	484,674		484,674
	0.051.140		T 00T 484
_	2,271,143	_	7,927,434
\$	4,630,710	\$	8,412,108

Adjust-

Statement of

# RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds			\$	(1,874,893)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:				
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is depreciated over their estimated useful lives.				
Expenditures for capital assets Less current year depreciation	\$	2,389,407 (241,170)		2,148,237
Bond proceeds provide current financial resources to governmental funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the statement of net position. Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the statement of net position. This is the amount by which proceeds exceeded repayments.				
Principal Payments				200,000
Some revenues reported in the statement of activities do not provide current financial resources and therefore are not reported as revenues in governmental funds.				
Standby Revenue Tax Revenue Penalty and Interest	-	6,315 (44,837) (3,891)		(42,413)
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.				
Interest Expense			_	53,743

484,674

Change in net position of governmental activities

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 1. CREATION OF DISTRICT

Montgomery County Utility District No. 4 (the "District") was created by an Act of the 62nd Legislature of the State of Texas, Regular Session, 1971, in accordance with the Texas Water Code, Chapter 54. The District consolidated with Montgomery County Utility District No. 23 pursuant to a consolidation agreement effective February 27, 1978. The Board of Directors held its first meeting on June 6, 1972, and the first bonds were sold on September 7, 1978. The District is empowered, among other things, to purchase, to construct, to operate, and to maintain all works, improvements and facilities necessary for the supply of water, for the collection and processing of wastewater, and for the control and diversion of storm water. The District also contracts for garbage service.

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The District's financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements. In addition, the accounting records of the District are maintained generally in accordance with the Water District Financial Management Guide published by the Commission. The more significant accounting policies established in GAAP and used by the District are discussed below.

GASB Statement No. 34 established standards for external financial reporting for all state and local government entities, which include a requirement for a Statement of Net Position and a Statement of Activities. It requires the classification of net position into three components: Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt; Restricted; and Unrestricted. These classifications are defined as follows:

Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt – This component of net position consists of capital assets, including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvements of those assets.

Restricted Assets – This component of net position consists of external constraints placed on the use of net position imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulation of other governments or constraints imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted Net Position – This component of net position consists of net position that do not meet the definition of "Restricted" or "Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt."

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

When both restricted and unrestricted (i.e., Committed, Assigned and Unassigned) resources are available for use, generally it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first.

#### **Reporting Entity**

In evaluating how to define the District, for financial reporting purposes, the Board of Directors has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP. The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations and accountability for fiscal matters. The other criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the District is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. The District is a participant in a joint venture as more fully described in Note 10. No other entities exist that should be considered for inclusion as a component unit. Complete financial statements for the Plant may be obtained from BKD, LLP, 2800 Post Oak Blvd #2600, Houston, Texas 77056.

#### Basic Financial Statements - Government-Wide Statements

The District's basic financial statements include both government-wide (reporting the District as a whole) and fund financial statements (reporting the District's major funds). The District's water and sewer services are classified as governmental-type activities.

In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, the governmental activities columns are presented on a consolidated basis by column and are reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The District's net position is reported in three parts – invested in capital assets, net of related debt; restricted net position; and unrestricted net position.

The government-wide Statement of Activities reports each of the District's general government revenues. The Statement of Activities reduces gross revenues by direct function expenses.

The District does not allocate indirect costs.

This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the District as an entity and the change in the District's net position resulting from the current year's activities.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### Basic Financial Statements - Fund Financial Statements

The financial transactions of the District are reported in individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, reserves, fund equity, revenues and expenditures/expenses. The various funds are reported by generic classification within the financial statements.

The following types are used by the District:

#### **Governmental Funds**

The focus of the governmental funds' measurement is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position rather than upon net income. The following is a description of the governmental funds of the District:

General Funds should be used to account for and report all financial resources not accounted for and reported in another fund.

Debt Service Funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for general government debt principal and interest.

Capital Project Funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for capital outlays, including acquisition or construction of capital assets.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures/expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made regardless of the measurement focus applied.

#### Accrual

The governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

#### Modified Accrual

The governmental funds financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### Basis of Accounting (continued)

#### Modified Accrual (continued)

available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt, if any, is recognized when due.

#### **Measurement Focus**

Measurement Focus is a term used to describe which transactions are recognized within the various financial statements. In the government-wide Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities, the governmental activities are presented using the economic resources measurement focus. The accounting objectives of this measurement focus are the determination of operating income, changes in net position, financial position, and cash flows. All assets and liabilities associated with the activities are reported. Fund equity is classified as net position.

Governmental fund types are accounted for on a spending or financial flow measurement focus. Accordingly, only current assets and current liabilities are included on the Balance Sheet, and the reported fund balances provide an indication of available spendable or appropriatable resources. Operating statements of governmental fund types report increases and decreases in available spendable resources. Fund balances are included on the Balance Sheet as follows:

#### **Fund Balance Classifications**

GASB Statement 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund – Type Definitions, requires the classification of fund balances in governmental funds using the following hierarchy:

Nonspendable Fund Balance includes portion of net resources that cannot be spent because of their form or because they must be maintained intact. Resources not in spendable form include supplies, inventories, prepaid items, long-term advances to other funds, net of deferred interest revenue, long-term receivable, net of deferred interest revenue, nonfinancial assets held for resale, and unrealized change in the fair value of investments.

Restricted Fund Balance includes net resources that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource provides (creditors, grantors, contributors), laws and regulations of other governments, or through enabling legislation.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### Basis of Accounting (continued)

#### Fund Balance Classifications (continued)

Committed Fund Balance includes spendable net resources that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal Council actions, no later than the close of the fiscal year. Those constraints remain binding unless removed or changed in the same manner employed to previously commit those resources.

Unassigned Fund Balance represents spendable net resources that have not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes.

#### **Capital Assets**

Capital assets purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$5,000 or more are reported at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation on all assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings	40 Years
Water and sewer system	10-45 Years
Machinery and equipment	5-20 Years

#### Revenues

Substantially all governmental fund revenues are accrued. Property tax revenues are recognized in the year for which they are levied.

#### Expenditures

Expenditures are recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

#### **Internal Activity**

Amounts transferred from one fund to another fund are reported as other financing sources or uses. Loans by one fund to another fund and amounts paid by one fund for another fund are reported as interfund receivables and payables in the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet if there is intent to repay the amount and if the debtor fund has the ability to repay the advance on a timely basis. In general, the amounts shown are unremitted Maintenance Tax collections, overpaid Maintenance Tax collections and/or Capital Outlay expenditures.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### Budget

The Board of Directors adopts an annual nonappropriated budget for the General Fund in accordance with the accounting principles applicable to that Fund. During the current year, the budget was not amended.

#### Pension Plan

The District has no employees, therefore, it has no current year contribution obligations. Effective January 1, 2000, the Internal Revenue Service determined that directors are considered to be "employees" for federal payroll purposes only.

#### **Subsequent Events**

In preparing these financial statements, management has evaluated and disclosed all material subsequent events through March 27, 2014; which is the date these statements were available to be issued.

#### **Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### NOTE 3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Texas statutes include specifications for and limitations applicable to the District and its authority to purchase investments as defined in the Public Funds Investment Act. Authorized investments are summarized as follows: (1) obligations of the United States or its instrumentalities, (2) direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies, (3) certain collateralized mortgage obligations (4) other obligations, the principal of and interest on which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the State of Texas or the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, (5) certain A rated or higher obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions of any state, (6) bonds insured, assumed or guaranteed by the State of Israel, (7) insured or collateralized certificates of deposit, (8) certain fully collateralized repurchase agreements secured by delivery, (9) certain banker's acceptances with limitations, (10) commercial paper rated A-1 or P-1 or higher and a maturity of 270 days or less, (11) no load money market mutual funds and no-load mutual funds with limitations, (12) certain guaranteed investment contracts, (13) certain qualified governmental investment pools and (14) a qualified securities lending program.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

Under Texas law, the District is required to invest its funds under written investment policies that primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity and that address investment diversification, yield, maturity, and the quality and capability of investment management, and all District funds must be invested in accordance with the following investment objectives: understanding the suitability of the investment to the District's financial requirements, first; preservation and safety of principal, second; liquidity, third; marketability of the investments if the need arises to liquidate the investment before maturity, fourth; diversification of the investment portfolio, fifth; and yield, sixth. The District's investments must be made "with judgment and care, under prevailing circumstances, that a person of prudence, discretion, and intelligence would exercise in the management of the person's own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived." No person may invest District funds without express written authority from the Board of Directors.

The Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Government Code includes a requirement for the District's auditor to perform a compliance audit of the District's compliance with its adopted investment policy. Based upon a test for compliance, the District's auditor acknowledges that the District has substantially complied with the provisions of the investment policy.

		ASSETS	ΑΊ	COST		FAIR VALUE
	1	Cash and Cash		_	•	
	_	Equivalents		Investments		Combined
GENERAL FUND						
Demand Deposits, Money						
Market Deposit Accounts						
and Savings Account	\$	140,520	\$		\$	140,520
TexPool				88,202		88,202
Certificates of Deposit				1,295,145		1,295,145
DEBT SERVICE FUND						
(Restricted for Servicing						
of Bonded Debt)						
Demand Deposits, Money						
Market Deposit Accounts						
and Savings Account		699,024				699,024
TexPool				441,004		441,004
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND						
(Restricted for Purchasing				•		
of Fixed Assets)						
Demand Deposits, Money						
Market Deposit Accounts						
and Savings Account		1,435,786				1,435,786
TOTALS	\$	2,275,330	\$	1,824,351	\$	4,099,681
	=	11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

Investments are reflected at cost, which the District considers to be fair value. The District invests in TexPool, an external investment pool that is not SEC-registered. The State Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas has oversight of TexPool. Federated Investors manage the daily operations of this pool under a contract with the Controller. TexPool has a rating of AAAm by Standard & Poors. The fair value of the District's position in the pool is the same as the value of pool shares. The District also invests in certificates of deposits which are issued in the District's name and held by the issuing institution.

The District's Investment Policy requires risk assessment in compliance with the provisions of Texas Statutes.

The District invests short-term to avoid any interest rate risks and there is no credit risk based upon the Standard & Poors rating.

Texas statutes require that any cash balance in any fund shall to the extent not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or its successor be continuously secured by a valid pledge to the District of securities eligible under the laws of Texas to secure the funds of municipal utility districts, having an aggregate market value, including accrued interest, at all times equal to the uninsured cash balance in the fund to which such securities are pledged. At the fiscal year end the carrying amount of the District's bank deposits was \$2,275,330 and the bank balances were \$2,188,218. Of the bank balances, \$876,320 were covered by federal depository insurance and the balance was covered by pledged securities held by a third party.

The District's policy is to require depositories to pledge adequate collateral to secure the District's deposits at all times to the extent that the District's depository balances exceed the limits of federal depository insurance. As of the highest cash balance date of each depository exceeding federal depository insurance, each depository did pledge adequate collateral to secure the deposits of the District. The entities pledging the collateral provided the information concerning market value.

#### NOTE 4. DISAGGREGATION OF RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLE BALANCES

#### Receivables

Receivables at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	-	Accounts	. <u>-</u>	Standby		Total Receivables	
Governmental activities: General	\$_	106,509	\$_	99,561	\$.	206,070	
Total – governmental activities	\$_	106,509	\$_	99,561	\$	206,070	

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

### NOTE 4. DISAGGREGATION OF RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLE BALANCES (continued)

#### **Payables**

Payables at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

		Vendors		Total Payables_
Governmental activities:	_			
General	\$	140,499	\$	140,499
Capital Projects		265,869		265,869
		•		
Total–governmental activities	\$_	406,368	\$.	406,368

#### NOTE 5. BONDS PAYABLE

Bonded debt activity for the current year is as follows:

	_	Balance January 1, 2013	-	Additions		Reductions		Balance December 31, 2013	_	Amounts Due within One Year
Governmental Ad Bonds Payable: Series 2011	ctivit	ies: 5,755,000	\$_		\$ .	200,000	\$ .	5,555,000	\$_	210,000
Total Bonds Payable	\$_	5,755,000	\$_	-	\$_	200,000	\$_	5,555,000	\$_	210,000

The outstanding bonds of Montgomery County Utility District No. 4, Montgomery County, Texas, are callable for redemption prior to maturity at the option of the District. The bonds may be called in whole or in part for the purpose of retirement or refunding, or both, at par in addition to unpaid accrued interest. Series 2011 bonds may be called on March 1, 2019, or any date thereafter at a price equal to the par value thereof, plus accrued interest to date of redemption.

Bonds Voted	\$ 56,160,000	Refunding Bonds Approved	\$ 14,160,000
Bonds Approved For Sale	14,160,000	Refunding Bonds Sold	8,931,317
Bonds Sold	14,160,000		

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 5. BONDS PAYABLE (continued)

Bonds outstanding as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Interest Rate	 Amount		Amount Outstanding January 1, 2013	_	Issued	Retired	Amount Outstanding December 31, 2013
Unlimited Ta	ıx Bonds:							
	3.00% to							
Series 2011	5.50%	\$ 5,755,000	\$.	5,755,000	\$ _		\$ 200,000	\$ 5,555,000
			\$	5,755,000	\$_	•	\$ 200,000	\$ 5,555,000

As of December 31, 2013, the Debt Service Requirements on bonds outstanding are as follows:

Years	<u> Principal</u>			Interest	Total
2014	\$	210,000	\$	228,860	\$ 438,860
2015		220,000		217,035	437,035
2016		230,000		204,660	434,660
2017		245,000		191,597	436,597
2018		255,000		177,848	432,848
2019		270,000		163,410	433,410
2020		285,000		151,710	436,710
2021		300,000		142,748	442,748
2022		315,000		132,941	447,941
2023		335,000		121,960	456,960
2024		350,000		109,754	459,754
2025		370,000		96,241	466,241
2026		390,000		81,516	471,516
2027		410,000		65,658	475,658
2028		435,000		48,546	483,546
2029		455,000		30,069	485,069
2030		480,000	_	10,200	490,200
	\$	5,555,000	\$_	2,174,753	\$ 7,729,753

The bonds are payable from the proceeds of an ad valorem tax levied upon all property within the District subject to taxation without limitation as to rate or amount, and are further payable from and secured by a lien on and pledge of the net revenues to be received from the operation of the District's waterworks and sanitary sewer system.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 5. BONDS PAYABLE (continued)

The District appears to be in compliance with the provisions of the Bond Order for each respective Series. At December 31, 2013, the Debt Service Fund had coverage of 2.60.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the District levied an ad valorem Debt Service Tax at the rate of \$0.2375 per \$100 of assessed valuation, which resulted in a tax levy of \$977,787 on the taxable valuation of \$411,699,975 for the 2013 tax year. The Bond Resolution for the Series 2011 bonds require that the District levy and collect an ad valorem Debt Service Tax sufficient to pay interest and principal on bonds when due and the cost of assessing and collecting taxes.

The District's tax calendar is as follows:

Lien Date

January 1

Levy Date

Before the later of September 30 or the 60th day after the receipt of

certified appraisal roll

Due Date

Upon receipt, not later than January 31

Delinguent Date -

February 1, at which time the taxpayer is liable for penalty and interest.

#### NOTE 6. RESTRICTED CASH AND TEMPORARY INVESTMENTS

The following balances were restricted by the Bond Order as of December 31, 2013:

		Restricted For					
		Servicing of Bonded Debt		Purchasing of Fixed Assets			
Cash	\$	699,024	\$	1,435,786			
Investments		441,004	_	-			
Total	\$ [	1,140,028	\$	1,435,786			

#### NOTE 7. INSURANCE

In accordance with the Bond Resolution for the Series 2011 Bonds, the District agrees it will at all times keep insured such parts of the System as are usually insured by municipal corporations and political subdivisions in Texas operating like properties in similar locations under the same circumstances with a reasonable insurance company or companies against risk, accidents or casualties against which and to the extent insurance is usually carried by such entities; provided, however, that at any time while any contractor engaged in construction work shall be fully responsible, therefore, the District shall not be required to carry such insurance. At the Balance Sheet date, the facilities were insured for \$4,240,000 with no co-insurance clause.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 8. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the current year is as follows:

	Balances January 1, 2013	Additions	Deductions	Balances December 31, 2013
Governmental activities:				
Capital assets not being depreciated				
Land	\$ 41,572	\$ 18,831	\$	\$ 60,403
Total capital assets not being depreciated	41,572	18,831	-	60,403
Capital assets, being depreciated				
Water	4,919,352	120,370		5,039,722
Sewer	3,830,194	2,250,206		6,080,400
Total capital assets being depreciated	8,749,546	2,370,576	-	11,120,122
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Water and Sewer	(2,646,685)	(241,170)		(2,887,855)
Total accumulated depreciation	(2,646,685)	(241,170)	•	(2,887,855)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	6,102,861	2,129,406	-	8,232,267
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 6,144,433	\$ 2,148,237	\$ -	\$ 8,292,670

#### NOTE 9. MAINTENANCE TAXES

An election held April 1, 1978, authorized a Maintenance Tax not to exceed \$1.00 per \$100 valuation on all property subject to taxation within the District. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, the District has levied an ad valorem Maintenance Tax of \$0.1725 per \$100 valuation for the 2013 tax year. This Maintenance Tax was used by the General Fund to pay expenditures of operating the District's waterworks and sanitary sewer system.

#### NOTE 10. CONTRACT WITH OTHER DISTRICT

On October 22, 1979, the District amended the water supply and sanitary sewer service contract with Montgomery County Utility District No. 3. This contract is for the term of forty years unless either party gives eighteen months notice of termination. The contract calls for a monthly service charge per service connection, subject to yearly modifications for increased operating costs. Effective July 1989, the District's new water well was completed and placed in service, and the monthly purchase of water from District No. 3 was discontinued. In May 1991, the sewage treatment plant expansion was completed and the districts began sharing operating costs. On April 18, 2011, the Districts agreed to commence construction of a new wastewater treatment plant at the shared expense of the Districts.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 10. CONTRACT WITH OTHER DISTRICT (continued)

The districts jointly own the 700,000 gallons-per-day plant. (As of February 1, 2002, the plant has been expanded to 950,000 gallons-per-day) District No. 3 operates the plant on behalf of the districts. Operating costs are shared based on costs per equivalent connection times the number of equivalent connections in each district. During the current period, the District was billed \$213,631 for its share of operating costs, of which \$39,694 is payable to District No. 3 at December 31, 2013. On April 18, 2011, the District authorized construction of a new plant.

#### NOTE 11. CONTRACT TO LEASE PREMISES

On September 7, 1995, the District entered into a contract with Rural Fire Prevention District No. 3. This contract is for a term of forty years. Under its terms, the lessee shall use a lot leased from the District as a firefighting and emergency medical services dispatch facility.

#### NOTE 12. COVENANT FEES

The District is the beneficiary of covenants included in the subdivision's deed restrictions for substantially all subdivided property in the District. These covenants include the imposition of a contractual service availability fee ("Covenant Fee") payable to the District and is secured by a subordinate lien on the subdivided property as provided in the deed restrictions. The District does not assess standby fees as defined in Section 50.056 of the Texas Water Code. These Covenant Fees and associate liens are enforceable in accordance with the terms of the deed restrictions and applicable general law and are not subject to review or approval by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Covenant fees are recorded in revenue as collected.

#### NOTE 13. RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the District carries commercial insurance. The District has not significantly reduced insurance coverage or had settlements which exceeded coverage amounts for the past three fiscal years.

#### NOTE 14. CHANGE OF FISCAL YEAR-END

The District was granted the authority to change its year-end from June 30 to December 31 effective July 1, 2010.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

#### NOTE 15. FUND DEFICIT

The \$100,004 deficit in the Debt Service Fund is the result of a reduced tax levy which may require transfers of funds from the General Fund.

#### NOTE 16. STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

On February 22, 2013, the District entered into a Strategic Partnership Agreement ("SPA") with the City of Conroe, Texas (the "City") pursuant to Texas Local Government Code, Section 43.0751, which SPA provides for: (1) annexation of the territory of the District by the City for full purposes effective December 31, 2014; (2) continuation of the District, after annexation, as a "limited district" with powers and functions provided by law, including water, wastewater, storm sewer, and solid waste services, and also including the power to levy taxes and collect user fees; (3) provision of fire, police, and other municipal services by the City; (4) payments by the City to the District, including payments to defray debt service related to the Bonds; (5) binding each owner and future owners of land included within the territory of the District; and (6) other matters.

#### NOTE 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 1, 2014, the District issued \$7,750,000 Waterworks and Sewer System Combination Unlimited Tax and Revenue Bonds, Series 2014.



# MONTGOMERY COUNTY UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 4 REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION DECEMBER 31, 2013

### BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

	_	Actual	_	Original Budget	Variance - Positive (Negative)
REVENUES:					
Charges for Water Service	\$	559,200	\$	606,100	\$ (46,900)
Charges for Sewer Service		605,912		606,400	(488)
Property Taxes		735,422		620,000	115,422
Tap Connection and Inspection Fees		23,205		22,500	705
Covenant Revenue		7,315		5,200	2,115
Investment Earnings		5,673		6,120	(447)
Penalties and Interest		14,891		12,200	2,691
Other		15,780	_	31,320	(15,540)
Total Revenues	_	1,967,398	_	1,909,840	57,558
EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES: Service Operations:					
Water Service		595,970		286,005	(309,965)
Sewer Service		553,918		354,085	(199,833)
Purchased Services		222,989		180,600	(42,389)
Contracted Services		112,758		120,060	7,302
Professional Fees		250,322		129,500	(120,822)
Director Fees		20,700		24,000	3,300
Other		103,716		83,785	(19,931)
Capital Outlay		231,435		435,000	203,565
Total Expenditures Expenses	_	2,091,808	_	1,613,035	(478,773)
Excess (Deficency) of Revenues over Expenditures		(124,410)	,	296,805	(421,215)
Other Financing Sources/Uses:	_		-	-	-
Net Change in Fund Balance		(124,410)		296,805	(421,215)
Fund Balance:					
January 1, 2013		2,970,436		2,970,436	
December 31, 2013	\$_	2,846,026	\$	3,267,241	\$ (421,215)

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE WATER DISTRICT FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT GUIDE

**DECEMBER 31, 2013** 

#### SERVICES AND RATES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

l. Services Pr	rovided by the D	istrict during t	he Fiscal	Year:	
X Retail V	Water	Wholesale	Water	X Drainage	Э
X Retail V	Wastewater	Wholesale	Wastewat	er Irrigatio	n
Parks/I	Recreation	Fire Prote	ction	Security	
X Solid W	aste/Garbage	Flood Con	trol	Roads	
(Other	pates in joint vent than emergency i specify)	nterconnect)		or wastewater service	e -
	vice Providers Rates Based on s	5/8" Meter (or e	equivalen	t):	
	Minimum Charge	Minimum Usage	Flat Rate Y/N	Rate per 1,000 Gallons Over Minimum	Usage Levels
Water:	\$11.00	4,000	N	\$ <u>1.35</u> \$ <u>1.80</u> \$ <u>2.25</u>	4,001-10,000 10,001-15,000 15,001-20,000
				\$ 2.75 \$ 3.50 \$ 5.00	20,001-25,000 25,001-30,000 30,001 and over
Waste- Water:	\$30.00	•	Y	\$ \$	
Surcharge:	\$07	1,000		\$	
District amnl	ovs winter avera	ging for wastewa	ter usage?	Yes No X	
District empi	ojo wiitoi avoia	B-11-0 101		100 100	

#### 2. Retail Service Providers (continued)

#### b. Water and Wastewater Retail Connections:

Meter Size	Total Connections	Active Connections
Unmetered		
<u>≤ ¾"</u>	1,436	1,398
1"	58	57
1 1/2"	3	3
2"	9	9
3"	2	2
4"		
6"	1	1
8"		
10"		
Total Water	1,507	1,468
Total Wastewater	1,484	1,445

3. Total Water Consumption during the Fiscal Year (rounded to the nearest thousand):

Gallons pumped into system:

307,064

Water Accountability Ratio: (Gallons billed/Gallons pumped)

Gallons billed to customers:

292,155

95.1%

4. Standby Fees: (authorized only under TWC Section 49.231):

Does the District have Debt Service standby fees?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No <u>X</u>

If yes, Date of the most recent Commission Order:

Does the District have Operation and Maintenance standby fees?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No <u>X</u>

If yes, Date of the most recent Commission Order:

....

5.	Location of District:
	County(ies) in which district is located. <u>Montgomery</u>
	Is the District located entirely within one county?  Yes X No
	Is the District located within a city? Entirely Partly Not at all_X_
	City(ies) in which district is located.
	Is the District located within a city's extra territorial jurisdiction (ETJ)?
	Entirely X Partly Not at all
	ETJ's in which district is located. Conroe, Tx
	Are Board members appointed by an office outside the district?  Yes No _X_
	If Yes, by whom?

# SCHEDULE OF GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Professional Fees:		
Audit	\$	9,300
Legal		187,216
Engineering	r	53,806
	r	250,322
Purchased Services for Resale:		
Purchased Water & Sewer Service		213,631
Tap Connection Expenses		9,358
Total Purchased Services for Resale	,	222,989
Contracted Services:		
Bookkeeping		39,754
Operator		73,005
<b>,</b>		112,759
Utilities		13,187
	•	
Repairs and Maintenance		685,188
Administrative Expenditures:		
Directors Fees		20,700
Office Supplies		19,441
Insurance		16,322
		56,463
Garbage		210,548
		200 017
Other Expenditures		308,917
Capital Outlay		231,435
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	2,091,808

# SCHEDULE OF TEMPORARY INVESTMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2013

Funds	Interest Rate	Maturity	Balance December 31, 2013	Accrued Interest Receivable December 31, 2013
General Fund				
Certificate of Deposit:				
No. XXXX4348	0.30%	2/6/14 \$	150,000	\$ 287
No. XXX4478	0.30%	4/21/14	240,000	314
No. XXXX1004	0.35%	3/17/14	95,000	178
No. XXX639	0.25%	1/15/14	190,000	135
No. XX38	0.30%	6/6/14	100,000	44
No. XXXX1667	0.35%	1/26/14	95,000	140
No. XXXX7895	0.20%	5/23/14	95,000	82
No. XXXX0133	0.30%	8/25/14	95,145	3
No. XXXX6537	0.20%	7/14/14	95,000	8
No. XXX239	0.39%	3/7/14	140,000	126
TexPool	Var.	Var.	88,202	<del></del>
Total			1,383,347	1,317
Debt Service Fund				
TexPool	Var.	Var.	441,004	
Total			441,004	-
Total - All Funds		\$	1,824,351	\$

# TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE DECEMBER 31, 2013

	Maintenance	Debt Service
	Taxes	Taxes
Taxes Receivable, January 1, 2013	\$ 462,014	\$ 341,643
Adjustments	549	678
•	462,563	342,321
Year 2013 Original Tax Rolls	564,099	977,787
Total To Be Accounted For	1,026,662_	1,320,108
Tax Collections:		
Current Year	(314,287)	(544,772)
Prior Years	(421,135)	(306,529)
Total Collections	(735,422)	(851,301)
Taxes Receivable, December 31, 2013	\$ 291,240	\$ 468,807
Taxes Receivable By Years:		
2013	\$ 249,812	\$ 433,015
2012	7,062	5,129
2011	7,475	6,626
2010	5,846	4,815
2009	4,808	4,190
2008	2,804	2,938
2007	3,204	1,422
2006	2,390	1,471
2005	1,815	944
2004	1,466	477
2003	1,408	732
2002	1,315	684
2001	1,113	579
2000	38	1,223
1999	42	1,677
1998	459	1,530
1997	63	231
1996	62	230
1995	58	234
1994		660
	\$ 291,240	\$ 468,807

# TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE DECEMBER 31, 2013

Property Valuations:		2013	-	2012		2011	_	2010
Land Improvements Personal Property	\$	78,222,995 325,242,980 8,234,000	\$	69,918,346 290,713,123 7,359,826	\$	69,918,346 290,713,123 7,359,826	\$ _	69,936,515 290,788,669 7,361,739
Total Property Valuations	\$.	411,699,975	\$_	367,991,295	\$	367,991,295	\$=	368,086,923
Tax Rate Per \$100 Valuation:								
Debt service tax rates Maintenance tax rates	\$	0.2375 0.1725	\$	0.2375 0.1725	,	0.2300 0.2595	\$ _	0.2211 0.2684
Total Tax Rates per \$100 Valuation	\$.	0.4100	\$_	0.4100	\$	0.4895	\$	0.4895
Original Tax Levy:	\$.	1,541,866	\$	1,517,213	\$	1,801,317	\$_	1,801,785
Percentage of Taxes Collected to Taxes Levied		55.7%	_	99.2%		99.2%	_	99.4%

# GENERAL LONG-TERM DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - BY YEARS DECEMBER 31, 2013

		Series 2011					
For The Years Ending	1	Principal Due	Se	Interest Due ptember 1,	·		
December 31,	_	March 1		March 1		Total	
2014	\$	210,000	\$	228,860	\$	438,860	
2015		220,000		217,035		437,035	
2016		230,000		204,660		434,660	
2017		245,000		191,597		436,597	
2018		255,000		177,848		432,848	
2019		270,000		163,410		433,410	
2020		285,000		151,710		436,710	
2021		300,000		142,748		442,748	
2022		315,000		132,941		447,941	
2023		335,000		121,960		456,960	
2024		350,000		109,754		459,754	
2025		370,000		96,241		466,241	
2026		390,000		81,516		471,516	
2027		410,000		65,658		475,658	
2028		435,000		48,546		483,546	
2029		455,000		30,069		485,069	
2030		480,000		10,200		490,200	
	\$	5,555,000	<b>\$</b>	2,174,753	\$	7,729,753	

### GENERAL LONG-TERM DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - BY YEARS DECEMBER 31, 2013

**Annual Requirements For All Series** Total Total **Total Principal** For The Years Ending **Principal** Interest and Interest December 31, Due Due Due 2014 210,000 \$ 228,860 438,860 2015 220,000 217,035 437,035 2016 230,000 204,660 434,660 245,000 2017 191,597 436,597 2018 255,000 177,848 432,848 270,000 2019 163,410 433,410 2020 285,000 151,710 436,710 142,748 2021 300,000 442,748 2022 315,000 132,941 447,941 335,000 2023 121,960 456,960 2024 350,000 109,754 459,754 2025 370,000 96,241 466,241 2026 390,000 471,516 81,516 2027 410,000 65,658 475,658 2028 435,000 483,546 48,546 2029 455,000 30,069 485,069 2030 480,000 10,200 490,200 5,555,000 2,174,753 7,729,753

# ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN GENERAL LONG-TERM DEBT FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

		Series 2011		Totals
Interest Rate	3.	.00%-5.50%		
Dates Interest Payable	Se	March 1, eptember 1		
Maturity Dates		March 1, 2013/2030		
Bonds Outstanding				
January 1, 2013	\$	5,755,000	\$	5,755,000
Bonds Sold During the Current Year		-		-
Retirements: Principal		200,000	_	200,000
Bonds Outstanding December 31, 2013	\$	5,555,000	\$_	5,555,000
Retirements: Interest	\$	-	\$	•

### Paying Agent Name & Address:

term of all debt:

Series 2011 - The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Co., N.A., Dallas, Texas

Bond Authority:		Tax Bonds*		Refunding Bonds
Amount Authorized		<del>,</del>		
By Voters	\$	56,160,000	\$	14,160,000
Amount Issued		14,160,000		8,931,317
Remaining To Be Issued		42,000,000		5,228,683
merades an bolids secur	eu wiiii	tax revenues.		•
Debt Service Fund Cash	& Temp	orary Investme	nts bala	ances at Dece
Average annual Debt Sei	rvice pa	yment (Principa	al & Int	erest) for ren

454,691

	,	•	
	·	•	
		•	
THIS PAGE INTE	NTIONALLY L	EFT BLANK	
			•
		•	
		•	
r			
	•		

# COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - GENERAL AND DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

# FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010, THE EIGHTEEN MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

			Amount	
GENERAL FUND	_	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	2011
REVENUES:				
Service Revenue	\$	1,165,112	\$ 1,216,322	\$ 1,889,876
Penalty and Interest		14,891	11,893	17,365
Water Tap Revenue		23,205	44,070	43,990
Covenant Revenue		7,315	5,210	12,518
Interest		5,673	8,157	19,632
Maintenance Tax Revenue		735,422	850,818	1,577,608
Other	_	15,780	14,753	20,074_
Total Revenues	_	1,967,398	2,151,223	3,581,063
EXPENDITURES:				
Professional Fees		250,322	305,602	136,534
Purchased Services for Resale		222,989	192,536	369,666
Contracted Services		112,759	119,454	162,559
Utilities		13,187	12,006	19,190
Repairs and Maintenance		685,188	482,163	1,014,823
Other		575,928	706,002	585,016
Capital Outlay Expenditures		231,435	524,648	1,199,463
Total Expenditures	_	2,091,808	2,342,411	3,487,251
EXCESS REVENUES (EXPENDITURES)	\$=	(124,410)	\$(191,188)	\$ 93,812
DEBT SERVICE FUND				
REVENUES:				
Tax Revenue	\$	851,301	\$ 681,073	\$ 1,336,045
Penalty and Interest		4,975	4,074	9,246
Interest		254	394	499
Other				
Total Revenues	_	856,530	685,541	1,345,790
EXPENDITURES:				
Contracted Services		11,556	12,527	20,203
Other		667	1,335	(18,595)
Debt Service and Related Expenditures		440,135	1,115,956	837,148
Total Expenditures	_	452,358	1,129,818	838,756
EXCESS REVENUES (EXPENDITURES)	\$_	404,172	\$ (444,277)	\$507,034_
Total Active Retail Water And/Or	=			
Wastewater Connections	_	1,468	1,459	1,458

					Percei	nt	
	2010	2009	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	2009
•	1 000 000	Ф <b>т</b> оо <b>ж</b> тол	<b>50.0</b> %	50.50/	50.00/	40.00/	50 50/
\$	• •	\$ 1,037,134	59.2%	56.5%	52.8%	49.8%	50.7%
	9,162	14,867	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.7%
	43,646	62,390	1.2%	2.0%	1.2%	2.1%	3.0%
	14,365	10,598	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.7%	0.5%
	19,183	13,313	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.9%	0.7%
	933,309	894,884	37.4%	39.6%	44.1%	45.1%	43.7%
	20,518	14,349	0.8%	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%	0.7%
	2,070,788	2,047,535	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	65,012	67,267	12.7%	14.2%	3.8%	3.1%	3.3%
	308,097	332,433	11.3%	9.0%	10.3%	14.9%	16.2%
	103,926	91,539	5.7%	5.6%	4.5%	5.0%	4.5%
	11,900	15,013	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%
	284,058	351,003	34.8%	22.4%	28.3%	13.7%	17.1%
	326,118	286,948	29.3%	32.8%	16.3%	15.7%	14.0%
	346,092	398,473	11.8%_	24.4%	33.5%	16.7%	19.5%
	1,445,203	1,542,676	106.3%	108.9%	97.4%	69.8%	75.3%
\$	625,585	\$504,859_	-6.3%	-8.9%	<u> 2.6%</u>	<u>30.2%</u>	<u>24.7%</u>
\$	814,829	\$ 881,885	99.4%	99.3%	99.3%	97.3%	96.8%
	21,007	27,958	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	2.5%	3.1%
	727	997	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
	708	62	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
	837,271	910,902	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	13,349	13,728	1.3%	1.8%	1.5%	1.6%	1.5%
	724	723	0.1%	0.2%	-1.4%	0.1%	0.1%
	822,448	820,848	51.4%	162.8%	62.2%	98.2%	90.1%
	836,521	835,299	52.8%	164.8%	62.3%	99.9%	91.7%
φ		ф <b>д</b> г соо	AT 0.0/	C/ OD/	97 70/	0.10/	0.00/
\$	<u>750</u>	\$ 75,603	47.2%	<u>-64.8%</u>	<u>37.7%</u>	0.1%	8.3%
	1,409	1,425					
	<del></del>						

### BOARD MEMBERS, KEY ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL AND CONSULTANTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Complete District Mailing Address: 3 E. Greenway Plaza, Suite 2000, Houston, Tx. 77046

District Business Telephone Number: (713) 651-0111

Submission Date of the most recent District Registration Form: 5/23/13 (TWC Sections 36.054 and 49.054)

Limit on Fees of Office that a Director may receive during a fiscal year: \$7,200 (Set by Board Resolution-TWC Section 49.060)

Name	Term of Office (Elected or Appointed) <u>or Date Hired</u>	Fees of Office Paid 12/31/13	Expense Reimburse- ments <u>12/31/13</u>	Title at <u>Year End</u>
BOARD MEMBERS				
	Elected			
Frederick Stow	5/12 - 5/16	\$ -	\$ -	President
	Elected			Vice-President/
J. Frank Haasbeek	5/10 - 5/14	1,650	-	Investment
				Officer
	Elected			Secretary/
Gary S. North	5/10 - 5/14	7,200	-	Treasurer
	Elected			
Carl D. Clay	5/12 - 5/16	6,600	•	Director
	Elected			
Marvin Carter	5/12 - 5/16	5,250	-	Director

#### KEY ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

N/A

Note: No director has any business or family relationships (as defined by the Texas Water Code) with major landowners in the District, with the District's developers or with any of the District's consultants.

# BOARD MEMBERS, KEY ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL AND CONSULTANTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Name	Term of Office (Elected or Appointed) or Date Hired	Fees Paid <u>12/31/13</u>	Expense Reimburse- ments <u>12/31/13</u>	Title at Year End
CONSULTANTS				
Hays Utility North Corp.	04/01/95	\$ 73,005	\$ -	Operator
Municipal Accounts & Consulting, LP	01/01/95	39,754	-	Bookkeeper
J.R. Moore, Jr.	03/01/95	340	-	Tax Collector
David L. Merritt, P.C.	09/18/96	9,300	-	Auditor
Bleyl & Associates	06/18/02	53,806	-	Engineer
RBC Capital Markets, LLC	09/15/96	-	-	Financial Advisor
Coats, Rose, Yale, Ryman & Lee, P.C.	2/25/10	187,216	-	Attorney

#### Member American Institute of Certified Public Accounts

#### DAVID L. MERRITT, P.C.

Certified Public Accountant 311 COMMERCE STREET TOMBALL, TEXAS 77375

281-351-7146

Member Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants

March 27, 2014

Board of Directors Montgomery County Utility District No. 4 Montgomery County, Texas

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Montgomery County Utility District No. 4 (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. As such, our consideration of internal control would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements of the District's financial statements on a timely basis. A control deficiency in design exists when a control necessary to meet a control objective is missing or an existing control is not properly designed so that, even if the control operates as designed, a control objective is not always met. A control deficiency in operation exists when a properly designed control does not operate as designed or when the person performing the control does not possess the necessary authority or qualifications to perform the control effectively.

A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal controls.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal controls.

We observed the following matters that we consider to be control deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.



#### Material Weaknesses

The District's management consists of an elected Board of Directors. Day-to-day operations are performed by private entities (Consultants) under contract with the District. The Board supervises the performance of the Consultants; however, although the Consultants can be part of the District's system of internal control, the Consultants are not members of management. Per auditing standards, management is responsible for design and implementation of the District's system of internal controls.

Statement on Auditing Standards No. 115 (SAS 115), effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2009, with earlier implementation permitted, set about to identify and define deficiencies in internal control that might be considered significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. SAS 115 requires that significant deficiencies or material weaknesses be communicated to management in writing.

Per auditing standards, one of the primary controls within the system of internal controls is related to the preparation of the financial statements. Management of the District is responsible for either preparing the financial statements or having the knowledge to determine whether the financial statements have been properly prepared and are free from potential misstatement. The absence of this expertise within management, or a Consultant of the District hired to perform this service, is considered by auditing standards to be a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting.

During the course of performing an audit, it is not unusual for the auditor to prepare various journal entries to correct and present the financial statements on the government-wide basis of accounting. Additionally, we noted adjustments to various accounts, such as property taxes receivable, deferred revenues and property taxes revenue, which were necessary in order for the fund financial statements to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The inability of management (or a Consultant of the District hired to perform this service) to detect these necessary adjustments is considered by auditing standards to be a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting.

Finally, management, or a Consultant of the District, does not prepare the capital asset and depreciation register or post adjustments related to the presentation of the capital assets in the government-wide financial statements. As management is not preparing or reviewing and does not have the expertise to prevent, detect and correct related significant potential misstatements, this is considered by auditing standards to be a material weakness.

#### Significant Deficiencies

No other matters reportable.

#### **Control Deficiencies**

No matters reportable.

#### Management's Response

The District's Board of Directors is appointed or elected from the general population and do not necessarily have governmental accounting expertise. The Board engages Consultants who possess industry knowledge and expertise to provide financial services, as well as legal and professional engineering services. The Board does not think that the addition of an employee or a Consultant to perform the annual financial reporting process is necessary, nor would it be cost effective.

#### Conclusion

Management's written response to the material weaknesses identified in our audit has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, Board of Directors and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

DAVID L. MERRITT, P.C.

·			